Case 1:07-cv-10860-AKH Dod	cument 1	Filed 11/08/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
STEVEN URCELAY AND SUSAN URCELAY		DOCKET NO.	
Plaintiffs - against -	S,	CHECK-OFF ("SHO COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defendar	nts.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Compl			
NO	OTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the Minstant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are and specific case information is set forth, as no	n in addition e marked wi	n to those paragraphs than '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual
Plaintiffs, STEVEN URCELAY AND GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LI			•

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

		· ·	e "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individ	uai
and a citizen	of New York residing at 139	9-04 Cronston Avenue, I	Belle Harbor, NY 11694	
		(OR)		
2.	Alternatively, □	is the	of Decedent	
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of	
		` / 1		

Ca	ase 1:07-cv-10860-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing to the Injured	g at 139-04 Cronston Avenue, Belle Hard Plaintiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times I STEVEN URCELAY, and brinjuries sustained by her husba	ter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New bor, NY 11694-, and has the following relationship herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff STEVEN URCELAY. Other:
4. (Ironworkers	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 9/19/2) as a Shop Stuart-Iron Worker at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Local 580
	Please be as specific as possible when fi	Illing in the following dates and locations
Location(s) (From on or a Approximate	d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) bout 9/11/2001 until 9/19/2001; ely 12 hours per day; for ely 9 days total.	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total. ■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■
From on or a Approximate Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, ely hours per day; for ely days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below: From on or about 9/11/2001 until 9/19/2001; Approximately 12 hours per day; for Approximately 9 days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite: Westside Highway
☐ The Fresh From on or a Approximate	h Kills Landfill bout; ely hours per day; for ely days total.	
*Continue t	* *	aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	✓ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
York on	NEW YORK, INC.
\square More than sixty days have elapsed since	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	\square EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	•
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-10860-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specification Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers ; ☐ Contested, box jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi out the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
	III CAUSES Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above	S OF	
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	✓	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
			Other:

Case 1:07-cv-10860-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Shortness of Breath Date of onset: 9/10/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 9/10/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: Heartburn/acid reflux/GERD Date of onset: 9/10/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Other Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:

NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages:

———————————————————————————————

✓ Pain and suffering

✓ Loss of the enjoyment of life

✓ Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity

✓ Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits

✓ Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation

✓ Other:

✓ Mental anguish

☑ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York November 7, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Steven Urcelay and Susan Urcelay

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

November 7, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	Steven Urcelay (and Wife, Susan Urcelay),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
====	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
	Dated,
=====	Attorney(s) for
	NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 atM. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP